

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/763,246	02/20/2001	Kenping Xie	A34032 PCTUS	5262
52835 7590 05/23/2007 HAMRE, SCHUMANN, MUELLER & LARSON, P.C. P.O. BOX 2902 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0902			EXAMINER	
			PHILLIPS, HASSAN A	
MINNEAPOLI	15, MIN 55402-0902		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
		2151		
·			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/23/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/763,246	XIE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary						
	Examiner Dhilling	Art Unit				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Hassan Phillips	2151				
Period for Reply	outo on the cover shot with the c	·				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 M	arch 2007.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6 and 8-18</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6 and 8-18</u> is/are rejected.)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,6 and 8-18</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		,				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	г.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 119/a)-(d) or (f)				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.				
Attachment(s)	" □	(070, 440)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to communications filed March 5, 2007.

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

2. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on March 5, 2007 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 6 and 8-17, have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Art Unit: 2151

5. Claims 1, 6, 8-16, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kelly, U.S. Patent 6,594,254.

Page 3

- 6. In considering claim 1, Kelly teaches a method for assigning a unique full digital code address (FDCA) to an online computer, the method comprising assigning to said computer the FDCA which comprises: an online number (i.e. 1-561-997-4001), comprising a digital number (i.e. 997-4001) of an established network site (i.e. telephone exchange on a PSTN), which is predetermined by a country or area, (col. 11, line 50-col. 12, line 14); a telephone number (i.e. 1-561-997-4001) comprising a country code (i.e. 1) designating the country where a user of the online computer is located, an area code (i.e. 561) designating the area where the user is located and a telephone number (i.e. 997-4001) of the user, (col. 11, line 50-col. 12, line 14); and a category number (i.e. 1-561-997-4001) comprising a digital number (i.e. 997-4001) predetermined by the country or the area for uniformly demarcating a business category (i.e. carrier.com, or provider2.com), (col. 11, line 50-col. 12, line 31).
- 7. In considering claim 6, Kelly discloses: not only assigning a fixed static address to each online computer, but also assigning a dynamic address to any temporary online computer, (col. 7, lines 39-46).

- 8. In considering claims 8 and 10, Kelly teaches accessing an E-mail box by dialing a telephone keyboard to input the FDCA into a modem of a computer (col. 7, lines 39-46, col. 11, lines 54-59), linking to the FDCA, and converting the FDCA by using dedicated software, (col. 13, lines 22-38).
- 9. In considering claims 9 and 11, Kelly teaches browsing the Internet by dialing up a keyboard of a dial-up telephone to input the FDCA into a modem of the computer, linking to the FDCA, and converting the FDCA by using dedicated software, (col. 7, lines 20-29, col. 11, lines 54-59).
- 10. In considering claim 12 Kelly teaches converting the FDCA into an IP address by using dedicated interpreting software, whereby the FDCA corresponds appropriately to one existing IP address, (col. 7, line 51-col. 8, line 8).
- 11. In considering claim 13, Kelly teaches converting the FDCA into a domain name by using dedicated interpreting software, whereby the FDCA corresponds appropriately to one existing domain name, (col. 12, lines 7-14).
- 12. In considering claim 14, Kelly teaches converting the FDCA into a Chinese hierarchy system domain name by using dedicated interpreting software, whereby the FDCA corresponds appropriately to one existing Chinese hierarchy system domain name, (col. 9, lines 35-67).

Art Unit: 2151

13. In considering claim 15, Kelly teaches assigning a subcategory number (i.e.

Page 5

boca561.997) following the category number, (col. 14, lines 4-33).

14 In considering claim 16, Kelly discloses assigning an encrypted digital number

(i.e. user pin code) following the online number, (col. 16, lines 20-44).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
 - 16. Claim 17 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelly.
- 17. In considering claim 17, Kelly further teaches assigning an address to a mailbox, wherein the mail box address comprises a digital number and a domain name of a mail server where the mail box is located, (col. 7, lines 39-46, col. 11, line 50-col. 12, line 14).

Although the teachings of Kelly show substantial features of applicants claimed invention, they fail to expressly disclose: the mail box address comprising a user name.

Art Unit: 2151

Nevertheless, Kelly does disclose identifying a mail box by an alias, E-mail address, or key word, (col. 7, lines 51-56).

Thus, if not implicit in the teachings of Kelly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to modify the teachings of Kelly to expressly show the mail box address comprising a user name. This would have advantageously facilitated identifying the mail box of a callee party when communications were desired with the callee party, (col. 7, lines 51-56).

18. Claim 18 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelly in view of Osaku et al. (hereinafter Osaku), U.S. Patent 6,061,738.

19. In considering claim 18, Kelly teaches a method for assigning a unique full digital code address (FDCA) to each of a plurality of computers (i.e. 232) in a network, the method comprising: creating the FDCA for each of the computers (col. 7, lines 39-46), each FDCA comprising 1) an online number (i.e. 1-561-997-4001) (col. 11, line 50-col. 12, line 14), 2) a telephone number (i.e. 1-561-997-4001) (col. 11, line 50-col. 12, line 14), and 3) a category number (i.e. 1-561-997-4001) (col. 11, line 50-col. 12, line 31), the online number comprising a predetermined digital number (i.e. 997-4001) for an established network site (i.e. telephone exchange on a PSTN), (col. 11, line 50-col. 12, line 14), the telephone number comprising a country code (i.e. 1) and an area code (i.e. 561) designating respectively a country and area where the computer is located, and a telephone number (i.e. 997-4001) of a user of the computer, (col. 11, line 50-col. 12, line

Art Unit: 2151

14), the category number comprising a predetermined digital number (i.e. 997-4001) for uniformly demarcating a business category (i.e. carrier.com, or provider2.com), (col. 11, line 50-col. 12, line 31); and assigning one of the FDCAs to a respective one of the computers, wherein the FDCAs allow the computers in the network to identify each other via the network, (col. 7, line 39-col. 8, line 8).

Although the teachings of Kelly show substantial features of applicants claimed invention, they fail to expressly disclose: the online number, the telephone number and the category number being distinct from each other, (col. 7, lines 51-56).

Nevertheless, Kelly does disclose identifying one of the computers by an alias, E-mail address, or key word, (col. 7, lines 51-56). Further, in analogous teachings Osaku discloses assigning distinct numbers to a URL, the numbers assigned by a combination of automatic numbering and a preferred selection of numbers, (col. 18, lines 28-63).

Thus, if not implicit in the teachings of Kelly, given the teachings of Osaku it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the present invention to modify the teachings of Kelly to expressly disclose the online number, the telephone number and the category number being distinct from each other. This would have been a mere design preference, and would have advantageously allowed assigning a unique FDCA that could be easily remembered, (Kelly, col. 7, lines 51-56, Osaku, col. 18, lines 38-63).

Art Unit: 2151

Conclusion

Page 8

20. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hassan Phillips whose telephone number is 571-272-3940. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri (8am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zarni Maung can be reached on 571-272-3939. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/HP/ 5/18/07

ZARNI MAUNG
PERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER